



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DNW Jun-07

DLA PIPER US LLP
4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE
SUITE 1100
SAN DIEGO CA 92121-2133

COPY MAILED

JUN 19 2007

In re Application of	:	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
Gough et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 10/698911	:	
Filed: 10/31/2003	:	
Attorney Docket No. ST-	:	
UCSD3510-1	:	

This is a decision on the petition filed on 10 April, 2007, under 37 CFR 1.137(b)¹ to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 22 March, 2007, for failure to timely submit the issue and publication fees in response to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due mailed on 21 December, 2006, which set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 20 April, 2007.

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(d)).

The statement contained in the instant renewed petition does not set forth that the entire delay from the due date of the required reply to the date of the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional as required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). However, the statement contained in the instant petition is being so construed. Petitioner **must** notify the Office if this is not a correct interpretation.

Receipt of the change of correspondence address filed with the present petition is acknowledged.

The application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3735 for consideration of the amendment under 37 CFR 1.312 filed with the present petition.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Wood", is positioned above the typed name.

Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions